

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION  
No. 7:15-CV-169-D

MADONNA F. ROBINSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,  
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

**ORDER**

On July 21, 2016, Magistrate Judge Numbers issued a Memorandum and Recommendation (“M&R”) [D.E. 32]. In that M&R, Judge Numbers recommended that this court deny plaintiff’s motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 27], grant defendant’s motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 29], and affirm defendant’s final decision. On August 5, 2016, plaintiff filed objections to the M&R [D.E. 33]. Defendant did not respond.

“The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge’s report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); see 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Absent a timely objection, “a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” Diamond, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).


The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and plaintiff’s objections. As for those portions of the M&R to which plaintiff made no objection, the court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record.

The court has reviewed de novo the portions of the M&R to which plaintiff objected. The scope of judicial review of a final decision regarding disability benefits under the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), is limited to determining whether substantial evidence supports the Commissioner's factual findings and whether the Commissioner applied the correct legal standards. See, e.g., Walls v. Barnhart, 296 F.3d 287, 290 (4th Cir. 2002); Hays v. Sullivan, 907 F.2d 1453, 1456 (4th Cir. 1990). Substantial evidence is evidence which a "reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion." Richardson v. Perales, 402 U.S. 389, 401 (1971) (quotation omitted). It "consists of more than a mere scintilla of evidence but may be less than a preponderance." Smith v. Chater, 99 F.3d 635, 638 (4th Cir. 1996). This court may not reweigh the evidence or substitute its judgment for that of the Commissioner. See, e.g., Hays, 907 F.2d at 1456. Rather, in determining whether substantial evidence supports the Commissioner's decision, the court's review is limited to whether the Commissioner analyzed the relevant evidence and sufficiently explained her findings and rationale concerning the evidence. See, e.g., Sterling Smokeless Coal Co. v. Akers, 131 F.3d 438, 439–40 (4th Cir. 1997).

Plaintiff's objections essentially restate the arguments made to Judge Numbers concerning the Commissioner's alleged failure to consider the additional medical evidence concerning plaintiff's problems with her psychiatric conditions and associated mental impairments. Compare [D.E. 33] 2–6, with [D.E. 28] 19–21. Plaintiff also argues that Judge Numbers improperly conflated "the issue of whether medical records and opinions from nurse practitioners and social workers" may be considered "with the maximum level of weight that can be given to such medical records and opinions." [D.E. 33] 2–3; cf. M&R 7–11. Substantial evidence, however, supports the ALJ's analysis. See M&R 4–11. Moreover, both Judge Numbers and the ALJ applied the proper legal standards. See id. Accordingly, the court adopts the M&R and overrules the objections.

In sum, plaintiff's objections to the M&R [D.E. 33] are OVERRULED, plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 27] is DENIED, defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 29] is GRANTED, defendant's final decision is AFFIRMED, and this action is DISMISSED. The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This 1 day of September 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES C. DEVER III  
Chief United States District Judge